



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/746,365	12/21/2000	Jerry B. Decime	10002106-1	2157

7590 04/04/2006

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY
Intellectual Property Administration
P.O. Box 272400
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 04/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Notification of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief
(37 CFR 41.37)**

Application No.

09/746,365

Applicant(s)

DECIME, JERRY B.

Examiner

Nabil M. El-Hady

Art Unit

2152

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 13 January 2006 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 41.37.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an amended brief or other appropriate correction (see MPEP 1205.03) within **ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS** from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer.

EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1. ☐ The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2. ☐ The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).
3. ☐ At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).
4. ☒ (a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).
5. ☐ The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi)).
6. ☐ The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).
7. ☐ The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).
8. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner **and relied upon by appellant in the appeal**, along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).
9. ☐ The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).
10. ☒ Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

See next page..



Nabil El-Hady, Ph.D, M.B.A.
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 2152

Art Unit: 2152

1. Although the brief contains a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, the reference to specification page and line number and to the drawings fails to support certain limitations in the claims. For claim 1, applicant specification, page 7, lines 16-21; page 10, lines 20-24; Figure 3, item 304, as referenced in the brief, fails to support "the web server being configured to determine the language in which the web-site is written. For Claim 8, applicant specification, page 7, lines 16-27; page 10, lines 20-27; Figure 3, items 304 and 306, as referenced in the brief, fails to support "determining a language in which a web-site that receives the e-mail message is written". For claim 14, applicant specification, page 7, lines 16-27; page 10, lines 20-27; Figure 3, items 304 and 306, as referenced in the brief, fails to support "determining a language in which a web-site that receives the e-mail messages is written". For claim 18, applicant specification, page 7, lines 16-27; page 10, lines 20-27; Figure 3, items 304 and 306, as referenced by the brief, fails to support "determining a language in which a web-site that receives the e-mail messages is written"

2. The brief fails to identify for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C., 6th paragraph, and set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification page and line number, and to the drawings. Claims 16, 17, and 25 are dependent claims with means plus function that are argued separately in the brief, they do not satisfying the above requirement.